

REMARKS

Claims 1-12 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 10, 11 and 12 have been amended. No new matter is added.

The Examiner rejected claims 1, 10, 11 and 12 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out the subject matter of the invention. Specifically, the Examiner objected to the term "general formula." Although disagreeing with the Examiner that the term "general" renders the claim indefinite, Applicants have amended claims 1, 10, 11 and 12 to delete the term "general" from the claim, as suggested by the Examiner. Accordingly, Applicants believe the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, has been overcome.

The Examiner rejected claim 14 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to recite essential steps. Applicants note that the present application does not contain claim 14, and believe this rejection is in error. Accordingly, the Examiner is requested to clarify the record with respect to this rejection.

In light of the amendments to the claims and the remarks set forth herein, Applicants respectfully request allowance of all of the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

USPTO Customer No. 26748
Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc.
Patent and Trademark Dept.
410 Swing Road
Greensboro, NC 27409
(336) 632-7547

/JACQUELINE HALEY/
Jacqueline Haley
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 41,457

Date: December 21, 2006